[June xx, 2016]

Marlene Dortch

Secretary

Federal Communications Commission

445 12th Street SW

Washington, DC 20554

RE: [Tribe/Tribal Organization] Reply Comments in the Matter of Connect America Fund, WC Docket No. 10-90; ETC Annual Reports and Certifications, WC Docket No. 14-58; and Developing a Unified Intercarrier Compensation Regime, CC Docket No. 01-92.

Dear Secretary Dortch,

On behalf of the [Tribe/Tribal Organization] we respectfully submit these reply comments for the record in support of developing and adopting a Tribal Broadband Factor in the High Cost Fund. [Background info on Tribe/Tribal Organization…]

The Universal Service Fund (USF) was created by the *1996 Telecommunications Act* to meet the goals of providing affordable and quality telecommunications services across the country. During this time it was estimated that less than ten percent of tribal lands had access to the Internet, with less than 69 percent of tribal households having access to basic landline telephone service. Since the creation of the USF the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has recognized the disparate levels of telecommunications access on tribal lands and tried numerous methods to address the Digital Divide in Indian Country. Over the past fifteen years telecommunications rates have improved on tribal lands, yet many of our lands lack access to high-speed, affordable broadband services. According to the FCC’s *2016 Broadband Progress Report*, 41 percent of residents on tribal lands lack access to advanced telecommunications services, compared to ten percent of the overall U.S. population. Furthermore, rural tribal lands and Alaska Native villages lag considerably behind the nation with nearly 70 percent lacking access to high-speed Internet services.

The development and adoption of a Tribal Broadband Factor in the High Cost Fund is long overdue, and its implementation will ensure that increased funds are available to Indian Country to support telecommunications deployment and maintenance. A number of tribes and tribal organizations have already filed comments for the record in support of establishing this Tribal Broadband Factor, and [Tribe/Tribal Organization] generally supports those filings.[[1]](#footnote-1)

**Establishment of Tribal Specific Support Mechanisms Must Ensure Coverage of Certain Operating Expenses & Eligible Telecommunications Carriers Should be Accountable of Funds**

[Tribe/Tribal Organization] agrees with several comments filed by tribes and tribal organizations that called for the need to ensure certain operating expenses (opex) are covered for an eligible telecommunications carrier (ETC) whose service territory is primarily composed of tribal lands, 50 percent or higher. Comments filed by the National Tribal Telecommunications Association, Gila River Telecommunications, Inc., and Sacred Wind Communications, Inc. outlined certain tribal-specific and unique expenses that ETCs incur from providing and maintaining service on tribal lands.[[2]](#footnote-2) [Tribe/Tribal Organization] agrees with the commenters, and reply comments filed by the National Congress of American Indians, that the FCC must provide either an exemption from the opex limits or an adjustment to the opex limits adopted in the *Report and Order* portion of the March 23, 2016 rulemaking.

Similarly, [Tribe/Tribal Organization] agrees with the National Tribal Telecommunications Associations call for the adoption of a voluntary Tribal Broadband Factor to provide additional capital support for Rate-of-Return carriers serving tribal lands. These two tribal-specific mechanisms will help promote broadband deployment to tribal lands. [Tribe/Tribal Organization] also agrees with reply comments filed by the National Congress of American Indians that ETC compliance with the Tribal Government Obligation Engagement Provisions should be required and reported to tribal governments and the FCC to ensure Tribal Broadband Factor funds are being utilized to deploy and maintain telecommunications services on tribal lands.[[3]](#footnote-3) The Tribal Government Obligation Engagement Provisions were established in the 2011 *USF/ICC Transformation Order* and required an ETC receiving USF support to demonstrate that they have meaningfully engaged with tribal governments whose lands are included in their service areas.[[4]](#footnote-4) ETCs were required, at a minimum, to annually document meaningful discussions held with tribal governments on the following:

1. *A needs assessment and deployment planning with a focus on Tribal community anchor institutions;*
2. *Feasibility and sustainability planning;*
3. *Marketing services in a culturally sensitive manner;*
4. *Rights of way processes, land use permitting, facilities siting, environmental and cultural preservation review processes; and*
5. *Compliance with Tribal business and licensing requirements.*[[5]](#footnote-5)

Commenters in the proceeding have noted specific expenses associated with exercising meaningful tribal engagement and we would urge the Commission to take those into consideration as it looks to developing tribal-specific mechanisms.

**The Commission Should Move Forward in Establishing a Tribal Broadband Factor**

[Tribe/Tribal Organization] agrees with the Tribal Broadband Factor proposal advanced by the National Tribal Telecommunications Association and proposed in the Commission’s March 23, 2016 rulemaking.[[6]](#footnote-6) Implementation of a Tribal Broadband Factor within the High Cost Fund will provide and maintain increased High Cost Fund subsidies to support the deployment and maintenance of telecommunications infrastructure in Indian Country. We agree that certain build-out and certification obligations should be adopted as part of the Tribal Broadband Factor to ensure that Rate-of-Return carriers are held accountable for voluntarily receiving Tribal Broadband Factor support.[[7]](#footnote-7)

For the past 15 years tribes, tribal telcos, and tribal organizations have built the record at the FCC illustrating the unique geographical and economic challenges affecting telecommunications deployment and maintenance in Indian Country; we recommend that the Commission take concerted action to adopt and implement a Tribal Broadband Factor before the end of this year. Additionally, the Commission should consider how the adoption of a Tribal Broadband Factor in the High Cost Fund could support other universal service programs—such as ensuring affordable access to low-income consumers on tribal lands through the Lifeline program. Access and affordability to communications services should remain a top priority for the FCC, since deployment cannot succeed if adoption is not possible due to unaffordability.

 [Tribe/Tribal Organization] is grateful for the opportunity to provide input on this important matter. The establishment of a Tribal Broadband Factor is long overdue to address the pervasive Digital Divide in Indian Country. We hope that the FCC will engage and consult with tribes in a proactive manner moving forward on this issue and as technology and services continue to advance. If you have any questions please contact [point of contact, email]

Sincerely,

[Tribe/Tribal Organization]
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